

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2001) KLR VOL 12 PART 130 pp. 3287 - 3530**

**DECEMBER 2001**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

### **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

1. Duru v. Onwumelu p. 3287
2. Ahmed v. State p. 3321
3. Nigeria Engineering Works Ltd. v. Denap Ltd. p. 3359
4. Olalekan v. State p. 3401
5. Amayo v. State p. 3551
6. Ejuetami v. Olaiya p. 3483

**ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2001) 12 KLR**

APPEALS - Criminal defences - Concurrent findings - That defence of accident failed - Will not be disturbed - As there was no miscarriage of justice (H2) Amayo v. State p. 3551

APPEALS - Issue - Which was resolved against the appellant - That was not appealed against before the lower court - Cannot be pronounced upon by the Supreme Court (H1) Ejuetami v. Olaiya p. 3483

APPEALS - Leave - To raise a new issue - Where fresh evidence will be required - Leave will not be granted (H3) Ejuetami v. Olaiya p. 3483

APPEALS - Retrial - Failure of plaintiff's claim - Retrial is erroneous - As paramount consideration is to see justice done (H8) Duru v. Onwumelu p. 3287

CRIMINAL LAW - Criminal defences - Defence of *mense rea* or accident s.24 CC - What is required - To prove each arm of the defences under s. 24 (H1) Amayo v. State p. 3551

CRIMINAL LAW - Murder - Intention - Elements required under s. 316 CC - Include proof of intention - Which If not established Accused will not be convicted (H5) Amayo v. State p.3551

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction for lesser offence - Homicide - Though not established - Appellant is guilty of causing hurt by dangerous means s. 248 (1) PC (H6) Ahmed v. State p. 3321

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Homicide - Conviction - Proof beyond reasonable doubt - Where not established - Mere speculation or suspicion - Cannot ground conviction (H5) Ahmed v. State p. 3321

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identification - Murder - Where appellant did not contest - That PW 1 knew him very well - Concurrent finding

of fact on his identification - Will not be disturbed (H1) Olalekan v. State P.3401

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Interpreted document - Admissibility - Interpreter's testimony - That does not disclose questions asked and the language - Makes the document inadmissible (H3) Olalekan v. State p. 3401

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Interpreter - Of appellant's Statement - Should give detailed evidence - To avoid making the recorded statement hearsay (H2) Olalekan v. State p. 3401

CUSTOMARY LAW - Native or customary courts - Procedure - Courts making use of such courts' decisions - Should allow some latitude in procedure - Provided substantial justice was done (H2) Duru v. Onwumelu p. 3287

EQUITY - Title - Priority - Belongs to a person with first equity - But his prior interest may be postponed - Upon proof of fraud (H7) Ejuetami v. Olaiya p. 3483

ESTOPPEL - Standing by doctrine - Is what is applicable in this case - And not res judicata (H3) Duru v. Onwumelu p. 3287

ESTOPPEL - Standing by - Person who failed to defend his interest - At time of litigation - Cannot reopen what was decided (H4) Duru v. Onwumelu p. 3287

EVIDENCE - Admissibility - Document - That is not tendered by any of the parties - But is a sketch made by trial court - Lower Court wrongfully rejected it as inadmissible (H1) Duru v. Onwumelu p. 3287

EVIDENCE - Appeals - Witnesses - Tainted witness - Submission that

**iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2001) 12 KLR**

wife of deceased is a tainted witness - Is not correct - As rightly held by the lower courts (H5) *Olalekan v. State* p. 3401

EVIDENCE - Exhibits - Land law - Document- Relevance - An exhibit that is not relevant - In proving exclusive possession - Is worthless (H5) *Duru v. Onwumelu* p. 3287

EVIDENCE - Pleadings - Documents - Tendered for a different purpose - Were rightly considered by the court - In finding delay against appellant that tendered them - And it is not even on ground of delay that the case failed (H6) *Ejuetumi v. Olaiya* p. 3483

EVIDENCE - Wrongful admission s. 227 (1) E. A. - Murder - Appeal - Reversal - Expunging Exhibit A that was wrongfully admitted - Will not ground a reversal - As miscarriage of justice was not occasioned (H4) *Olalekan v. State* p. 3401

HOMICIDE - Proof - Cause of death - Is a fact in issue - Which may be proved by direct or circumstantial evidence (H1) *Ahmed v. State* p. 3321

HOMICIDE - Proof - Cause of death - Medical evidence is direct evidence - Where not available - Circumstantial evidence may be relied on (H2) *Ahmed v. State* p. 3321

JURISDICTION - Ouster Clause - Ordinary meaning is to be applied - As courts jealously guard their jurisdiction (H3) *Nigeria Engineering Works Ltd. v. Denap Ltd.* p. 3359

JURISDICTION - Ouster of court's jurisdiction - Relevant date of the Act - Must be as provided within the statute - Court has jurisdiction - To try ease on revocation of right of occupancy (H4) *Nigeria Engineering Works Ltd. v. Denap Ltd.* p. 3359

LANDLAW - Equitable interest - Possessor of prior equitable interest

in land - Who later secured legal estate - Will retain the title - Unless shown to have acted inequitably (H5) Ejuetami v. Olaiya P. 3483

LAND LAW - Sale - Judicial precedent - Balance of payment by person with equitable interest - Can be refused by the vendor - Ajoke s case does not apply to support the contrary (H4) Ejuetami v. Olaiya p. 3483

LAND LAW - Title - Fraud - Equitable interest - That was later improved to a legal estate - Allegation of fraud was not established - To warrant setting aside the legal interest (H8) Ejuetami v. Olaiya p. 3483

LAND LAW - Title - Proof by inference s. 46 Evidence Act - Based on acts of possession over adjoining land - Does not avail the plaintiffs (H7) Duru v. Onwumelu p. 3287

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Long possession - Where it is the basis for plaintiffs' claim - They must prove numerous acts of ownership and possession - Over a sufficient length of time (H6) Duru v. Onwumelu p. 3287

LAND USE ACT - Parties - Governor's power of revocation - Is official and not private - He can properly be sued in his official capacity (H6) Nigeria Engineering Works Ltd. v. Denap Ltd. p. 3359

LAND USE ACT - Proper party to be sued - Over revocation of right by the Governor - A-G was properly sued (H2) Nigeria Engineering Works Ltd. v. Denap Ltd. p. 3359

LAND USE ACT - Revocation of right of occupancy s. 28 - Must be properly do lie with due notice - Or the revocation will be null and void (H6) Nigeria Engineering Works Ltd. v. Denap Ltd. p. 3359

LAND USE ACT - Revocation of right - Without adequate notice and

**vi INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2001) 12 KLR**

service of notice ss. 28 & 44 - Is proper ground for setting aside subsequent grant to appellant (H7) Nigeria Engineering Works Ltd. v. Denap Ltd. p. 3359

LAND USE ACT - Statutory right of occupancy - Grant of - Extinguishes all existing rights s. 5 (2) - Subject to other circumstances (H5) Nigeria Engineering Works Ltd. v. Denap Ltd. p. 3359

MURDER - Elements of - Under s. 316 CC - Where not established - When conviction for manslaughter will be substituted (H6) Amayo v. State p. 3551

MURDER - Medical evidence - Absence of - Nature of injury and instantaneous death - Absence of the corpus delicti - Are circumstances that may lead to the inference of murder - Without medical evidence (H3) Ahmed v. State p. 3321

MURDER - Medical evidence - Cause of death - Where obvious - Medical evidence will not be a legal necessity (H4) Amayo v. State p. 3551

MURDER - Medical evidence - Where necessary - Identity of the corpse must be certain - As any doubt will defeat prosecution's case (H3) Amayo v. State p. 3551

MURDER - Proof - Injury - Reliance on without medical evidence - Depends on surrounding circumstances - And clear description of the injury (H4) Ahmed v. State p. 3321

PLEADINGS - Extraneous matter of delay - Alleged to be wrongfully imported by the court - Is unfounded - As the court rightly considered documents tendered before it - And it is not even on ground of delay that the case failed (H6) Ejuetami v. Olaiya p. 3483

STATUTES - Interpretation - Contract for sale of land - Memorandum

of sale - Should be in writing - And must be signed by the party charged therewith (H2) Ejuetami v. Olaiya p. 3483

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979, ss. 176, 191, 251. 267, 236 Nigeria Engineering Works Ltd. v. Denap Ltd. p. 3359

Decree No. 55 of 1992 S.1 Nigeria Engineering Works Ltd. v. Denap Ltd. p. 3359

Evidence Act, s. 227 Olalekan v. State p. 3401; ss. 109 - 112, 46 Duru v. Onwumelu p. 3287

LAND USE ACT 1978 Cap. 202 LFN 1990, ss.1, 5(2), 28, 39, 44 Nigeria Engineering Works Ltd. v. Denap Ltd. p. 3359

Law of Property Act 1925 of England s. 40 Ejuetami v. Olaiya p. 3483

Law Reform (Contracts) Law of Lagos State s. 5(2) Ejuetami v. Olaiya p. 3483

Military Government (Supremacy and Enforcement of Powers) Act Cap. 137 LFN 1990 s.1(2) (a) & (b) Nigeria Engineering Works Ltd. v. Denap Ltd. p. 3359

Penal Code ss. 221,220,240,248 (1) Ahmed v. State p. 3321

Statutes of Fraud Act 1677 of England s. 4 Ejuetami v. Olaiya p. 3483

Survey Law (Cap. 124) Laws of Eastern Nigeria s. 3 (1) (b) (1) Duru v. Onwumelu p. 3287